

Journal Pre-proof

Ultra-processed food consumption, plasma metabolite profile, and risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality in a population-based cohort

Yufeng Du, Shunming Zhang, Johanne Slørdal Schjøberg, Deja Hadden, J. Gustav Smith, Lu Qi, Emily Sonestedt, Yan Borné



PII: S0261-5614(24)00380-7

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2024.10.023>

Reference: YCLNU 6127

To appear in: *Clinical Nutrition*

Received Date: 24 July 2024

Revised Date: 27 September 2024

Accepted Date: 15 October 2024

Please cite this article as: Du Y, Zhang S, Schjøberg JS, Hadden D, Smith JG, Qi L, Sonestedt E, Borné Y, Ultra-processed food consumption, plasma metabolite profile, and risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality in a population-based cohort, *Clinical Nutrition*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2024.10.023>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Ultra-processed food consumption, plasma metabolite profile, and risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality in a population-based cohort

Yufeng Du ^{a,b,2 *}, Shunming Zhang ^{b,c}, Johanne Slørdal Schjølberg ^b, Deja Hadden ^b,
J. Gustav Smith ^{d,e,f,g}, Lu Qi ^{h,i,1}, Emily Sonestedt ^{b,j,1}, Yan Borné ^{b,1,2 *}

Author Affiliations: ^a Department of Epidemiology and Statistics, School of Public Health, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China.

^b Nutritional Epidemiology, Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden.

^c School of Public Health, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China.

^d Department of Cardiology, Clinical Sciences, Lund University and Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden.

^e Wallenberg Center for Molecular Medicine and Lund University Diabetes Center, Lund University, Lund, Sweden.

^f Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Gothenburg University and Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden.

^g Science for Life Laboratory, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden.

^h Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA.

ⁱ Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.

^j Department of Food and Meal Science and the Research Environment MEAL, Faculty

of Natural Science, Kristianstad University, Kristianstad, Sweden.

***Correspondence to:**

Yufeng Du, yufeng.du@med.lu.se and Yan Borné, yan.borne@med.lu.se

*Corresponding author.

¹ LQ, ES, and YB are joint senior authors.

² Present address: Nutritional Epidemiology, Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö,
Lund University, Jan Waldenströms gata 35, 21428 Malmö, Sweden.

1 **Summary**

2 **Background & aims:** Epidemiological evidence on ultra-processed food (UPF) and
3 cause-specific mortality remains limited and mixed. Molecular mechanisms underlying
4 UPF intake and mortality remain unexplored. This study aimed to evaluate the
5 associations between UPF consumption, metabolic signatures, and all-cause, premature,
6 and cause-specific mortality.

7 **Methods:** This study included 27670 participants (mean age 58.1 years) from the
8 Malmö Diet and Cancer (MDC) cohort study. Consumption of UPF was assessed using
9 a food frequency questionnaire and a 7-day food diary. In a subset of the MDC (n=879),
10 the associations of UPF with 991 plasma metabolites were investigated. An elastic net
11 regression model was used to establish the metabolic signature of UPF. Cox
12 proportional hazards regression model was used to determine the association between
13 UPF intake, metabolic signature, and mortality risk.

14 **Results:** During a median follow-up of 23.3 years, a total of 11333 participants died.
15 UPF intake showed a nonlinear positive association with all-cause mortality, with more
16 pronounced associations found in females ($P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.044$); in females, UPF was
17 linked to a higher mortality risk in a linear manner, while the association was J-shaped
18 in males. Each standard deviation (SD) increment in UPF intake was associated with
19 an increased risk of premature mortality (HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.03–1.09), cardiovascular
20 disease (CVD) mortality (HR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.01–1.08) or respiratory disease mortality
21 (HR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.01–1.15), but not cancer mortality. The metabolic signature for

22 UPF consumption (with 93 metabolites) was positively associated with all-cause
23 mortality risk (HR per 1 SD, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.06-1.42).

24 **Conclusions:** Our results suggest that higher UPF intake is associated with increased
25 risk of all-cause, premature, CVD, and respiratory disease mortality, with the
26 association varying across sex for all-cause mortality. The plasma metabolic signature
27 of UPF showed a positive association with all-cause mortality.

28 **Keywords:** Ultra-processed food; mortality; prospective cohort; NOVA classification;
29 Metabolites

30
31 **Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; FFQ, food
32 frequency questionnaire; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MDC, Malmö
33 Diet and Cancer; MET, metabolic equivalent task; PCI, processed culinary
34 ingredients; SD, standard deviation; UPF, ultra-processed food.

35 **1. Introduction**

36 Ultra-processed foods (UPF), according to the NOVA food classification system, are
37 food products made by a series of industrialized processes and contain multiple
38 ingredients and additives, with little or no whole foods[1]. These food products are
39 usually palatable, ready to consume, inexpensive, and highly marketed. The varieties
40 and amounts of UPF have expanded substantially in the global food system in recent
41 decades[2, 3]. UPF contributes a large proportion of Western diets, accounting for
42 almost 60% of energy intake in the United States[4]. In European countries, the energy
43 proportion from UPF intake ranges from 14% to 44%[5]. High consumption of UPF is
44 associated with poor diet quality[6]. Additionally, chemicals from UPF manufacturing
45 and packaging have been linked to oxidative stress, inflammation, and changes in gut
46 microbiota in experimental studies [7-9].

47 Since 2019, emerging cohort studies[10-21], but not all[22, 23], have shown
48 positive associations between UPF intake and all-cause mortality. However, evidence
49 on UPF and cause-specific mortality remains limited and mixed, and the association
50 with premature mortality has not been studied. Furthermore, evidence from the Swedish
51 population remains limited to date. UPF covers a broad range of foods that vary widely
52 in composition and nutritional quality[24]. Analyzing the risks associated with UPF
53 subgroups can help tailor and prioritize policy guidance around UPF consumption[25].
54 However, the associations of specific UPF subgroups with mortality risk have only been
55 examined in two previous studies[14, 21].

56 Traditional dietary instruments like food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) and
57 dietary recalls are subjective and prone to measurement and recall bias. The metabolic
58 signature of dietary intake has emerged as a valuable tool in nutritional research for its
59 objective nature, reflecting metabolic responses to diet, and enhancing understanding
60 of biological mechanisms[26, 27]. The metabolite profiles for diet patterns (e.g.,
61 Mediterranean diet) or specific foods (e.g., legumes) have been identified[28, 29].
62 However, the only study on the metabolic signature of UPF consumption covered 232
63 candidate metabolites and was conducted among British children[30]. The molecular
64 mechanisms underlying UPF intake and mortality remain unexplored.

65 Therefore, we aimed to investigate the association of UPF intake and seven UPF
66 subgroups with all-cause mortality, premature mortality, mortality from cancer, CVD,
67 and respiratory disease in the Malmö Diet and Cancer (MDC) cohort, a large
68 prospective cohort with 23.3 years of follow-up. The metabolic signature of UPF
69 consumption was identified, and its association with mortality risk was assessed.

70 **2. Methods**

71 **2.1 Study population**

72 The MDC is a prospective cohort study initiated in 1991 in Malmö, Sweden. Until
73 1996 the recruitment was completed, and of the 74318 invited participants, 30446
74 individuals aged 45 to 73 years took part in the baseline examination. Participants
75 visited the study center twice at baseline. During the first visit, a self-administered
76 questionnaire regarding lifestyle and socioeconomic factors, a food diary, and a FFQ

77 were explained and distributed to participants, and anthropometric measurements were
78 performed by trained personnel. Approximately two weeks later, the returned
79 questionnaires were reviewed and a diet history interview was conducted. Details of
80 this cohort have been described elsewhere[31, 32]. The study was approved by the
81 Ethical Committee at the Medical Faculty at Lund University (approval number: LU
82 51/90) and all participants provided written informed consent.

83 We include participants with complete dietary information (n = 28098). Those with
84 missing data on seven covariates were excluded (n=428), leaving 27670 participants
85 for the analysis of UPF intake and mortality risk. A random subset of participants from
86 the MDC was invited to join the Malmö Diet and Cancer Cardiovascular Cohort (MDC-
87 CC) between 1991 and 1994. This sub-cohort consisted of 6103 participants, of whom
88 5543 provided blood samples after standardized overnight fasting. Data on blood lipids
89 and lipoprotein subfractions was available for 4059 participants. For the analysis of
90 plasma metabolites, the study sample was restricted to 879 participants with available
91 metabolomics data. Details are shown in the flowchart (Supplementary Figure 1).

92 **2.2 Dietary assessment of UPF intake**

93 The food intake was assessed using a modified diet history method, consisting of
94 a 7-day food diary, a 168-item semiquantitative FFQ, and a 45-60 minutes dietary
95 interview. This method was validated using an 18-day weighted food record, with
96 energy-adjusted Pearson correlation coefficients for most foods ranging from 0.50 to
97 0.80[33, 34]. In the food diary, participants were asked to record their daily meals

98 (usually cooked lunch and dinner), cold beverages, and dietary supplements for 7
99 consecutive days. FFQ was used to assess the regularly consumed foods over the
100 previous year, mainly breakfast and snacks. A dietary interview was conducted to
101 quantify the food amount in the food diary, check for the food overlap between FFQ
102 and food diary, and collect the details about how foods and dishes in the food diary
103 were prepared. We adopted 48 (FFQ), 180 (food diary, old method), and 75 (food diary,
104 new method) sets of food photographs to accurately assess the quantity of food. Food
105 information from the food diary and FFQ was summarized into the average daily intake
106 for each food item (g/day). Food intake was further converted into energy and nutrient
107 intake with the use of computer software and the Swedish Food Database PC KOST2-
108 93 of the Swedish National Food Administration.

109 The UPF intake was assessed by the NOVA classification that groups each food
110 item into one of the four food groups based on the levels and purpose of industrial
111 processing[1]: 1) unprocessed or minimally processed foods, e.g., fruits, vegetables,
112 eggs, milk, and unprocessed meat; 2) processed culinary ingredients (PCI), e.g., oils,
113 butter, and sugar; 3) processed foods, e.g., canned fish, cheese, high-fiber bread and
114 cereals; and 4) UPF, e.g., savory snacks, reconstituted meat products, pastries, cakes,
115 cookies, and soft drinks. In this study, we focused on the fourth category UPF. The
116 examples of the food items in each category are listed in Supplementary Table 1. We
117 further categorized the UPF into 7 mutually exclusive subgroups, including starchy

118 foods and breakfast cereals, beverages (i.e., soft drinks), sugary products, fats and
119 sauces, meat and fish, dairy products, and salty snacks.

120 Four items (i.e., “crispbread, 10-20% fiber”, “crispbread, > 20% fiber”, “bread, ≥
121 6.0% fiber”, “marmalade, honey, jam, puree”) with inconsistencies among researchers
122 in the classification were assigned to the most likely group. To assess the impact of this
123 inconsistency, we adopted an alternative categorization of the UPF in sensitivity
124 analysis. Specifically, “marmalade, honey, jam, puree” was reclassified from the UPF
125 group to the processed culinary ingredients group, while the three bread food items
126 were reclassified from processed foods to the UPF group.

127 **2.3 Measurement of metabolites**

128 Overnight fasting blood samples were collected at baseline, and separated plasma
129 was stored at -80°C until analysis. A total of 1372 biochemicals were measured by a
130 well-validated untargeted liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry
131 (LC-MS/MS) on the Metabolon Platform (Morrisville, NC, USA). These biochemicals
132 included 835 named metabolites, 268 unnamed metabolites, and 269 xenobiotics.
133 Metabolites with more than 75% missing values were excluded, while xenobiotics with
134 missing values were imputed with 0. All metabolites were log-transformed, and values
135 beyond ±5 standard deviations (SD) from the mean were set at the 5 SD threshold. After
136 exclusions, 991 metabolites remained for metabolomic analysis.

137 **2.4 Measurement of blood lipids and lipoprotein subfractions**

138 Total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
139 (HDL-C) concentrations were determined using a DAX 48 automatic analyzer (Bayer
140 AB, Göteborg, Sweden) with reagents and calibrators provided by the instrument
141 supplier. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was derived from the
142 Friedewald formula. Lipoprotein subfractions were analyzed via ion mobility analysis.
143 Intra- and interassay coefficients of variation for LDL particles were less than 1.0%.
144 More details on the assessment of biomarkers and quality control have been described
145 previously[35].

146 **2.5 Outcome ascertainment**

147 The outcomes were all-cause mortality, premature mortality, and cause-specific
148 mortality from cancer, CVD, and respiratory disease. Deaths and emigrations were
149 identified through the Swedish National Tax Agency, Statistics in Sweden, and the
150 National Board of Health and Welfare. Cause-specific mortality was based on the
151 Swedish Cause of Death Register. Cancer, CVD, and respiratory disease death were
152 defined according to the following codes from the ninth and tenth revisions of the
153 International Classification of Diseases (ICD): 140–239 (ICD-9) and C, D00-D48
154 (ICD-10) for cancer death, 390–459 (ICD-9) and I (ICD-10) for CVD death, and 460–
155 519 (ICD-9) and J (ICD-10) for respiratory disease death. Premature death was defined
156 as deaths that occurred before the age of 75 years[36]. We followed up all participants
157 from the date of completing the baseline survey until the death, emigration, or

158 December 31, 2018, whichever occurred first. The rate of loss to follow-up due to
159 emigration was 0.8% (n = 212).

160 **2.6 Assessment of covariates and other variables**

161 Information on age and sex was collected from the Swedish registry using a
162 personal identification number. The recruitment of the participants took more than five
163 years and the follow-up ended at a fixed date, so the year of participant recruitment
164 (quartiles) was considered as a covariate in this study. Weight and height were measured
165 by trained personnel at baseline examination. Body mass index (BMI) was constructed
166 by weight and height and further divided into four groups (<18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25-29.9,
167 and ≥ 30 kg/m²). A self-administered questionnaire at baseline was used to collect data
168 on marital status (married or others), smoking habits (current, former, or never),
169 educational level (elementary, primary and secondary, upper secondary, further
170 education without a degree, and university degree), whether lived alone (yes or no).
171 Alcohol consumption was divided into six categories (zero intake in both food diary
172 and FFQ, and sex-specific quintiles for those who reported drinking). Metabolic
173 equivalent task (MET) hours per week, derived from the duration of 17 different leisure-
174 time physical activities, was categorized into five groups (< 7.5, 7.5-15, 15-25, 25-50,
175 and > 50 MET-hour/week). The heredity score of cancer or CVD was generated based
176 on the self-reported family history of the disease. If one of the participant's relatives
177 (father, mother, and siblings) has the disease, one point is assigned to the heredity score.
178 The diet quality index, based on the Swedish dietary guidelines, was calculated as the

179 sum of five dietary factors: fiber (> 2.4 g/MJ), fruit and vegetables (> 400 g/day), fish
180 (> 300 g/week), added sugar ($< 10\%$ energy), and red and processed meat (< 500
181 g/week)[37]. For each dietary factor, a score of 1 is assigned if the above criterion is
182 met, and otherwise, the score is 0, resulting in an overall score ranging from 0 to 5. We
183 divided the intake of coffee (quartiles) and tea (zero consumers, < 225 g/day, and ≥ 225
184 g/day) into categorical variables based on their distribution. The variable dietary
185 assessment method (old and new) was created because the dietary interview was
186 shortened from 60 min to 45 min in September 1994. The dates of dietary data
187 collection were categorized into seasons (spring, summer, autumn, and winter). Blood
188 pressure was measured by nurses using a mercury sphygmomanometer. Hypertension
189 was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure \geq
190 90mmHg, or antihypertensive medication usage. Diabetes was identified through self-
191 reported diagnosis, medication usage, or registry records. The use of lipid-lowering
192 medication was self-reported.

193 Participants were defined as potential energy misreporters when the ratio of their
194 energy intake to basal metabolic rate was outside the 95% CI of the physical activity
195 level, which was estimated from activities at work, leisure time, and household work,
196 as well as time for sleep, self-care, and passive activities[38]. Participants were
197 considered diet changers if they reported “yes” to the question in the baseline
198 questionnaire: “Have you substantially changed your eating habits because of illness or
199 some other reasons?”. In the follow-up survey conducted five years after the baseline

200 (1997-2001), we obtained the status of diet change by the question: “Have you
201 substantially changed your dietary habits since you participated in the MDC study for
202 the first time?”.

203 **2.7 Statistical analyses**

204 The baseline characteristics were presented by quintiles of energy-adjusted UPF
205 intake using mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and percentage
206 for categorical variables.

207 **Analysis 1 (UPF intake and mortality risk)**

208 Intake of UPF and UPF subgroups were adjusted for total energy intake using the
209 residuals method. We used Cox proportional hazards regression models to investigate
210 the associations of UPF intake and mortality risk, with the first quintile used as a
211 reference. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) per one SD increment
212 of UPF intake were also estimated. Covariates were progressively entered into 4
213 adjusted models; we adjusted age, sex, dietary assessment method, season, total energy
214 intake, and year of participant recruitment in model 1. Model 2 was adjusted for all
215 variables in model 1 plus educational level, leisure time physical activity, smoking
216 status, alcohol consumption, prevalent CVD, cancer or diabetes, heredity score of
217 cancer (0 or >0) or CVD (0 or >0), marital status, whether lived alone, coffee and tea
218 intake. As diet quality index and BMI are possible mediators linking UPF consumption
219 and mortality risk, we further adjusted for all variables in model 2 and diet quality index
220 (model 3), and for BMI in model 4 to observe the changes of association. Model 2 was

221 considered as the main model. We tested the proportional hazards assumption by
222 Schoenfeld test and visual examination of the Schoenfeld residuals. No violation of the
223 assumption was observed. We investigated potential non-linear associations between
224 UPF intake and mortality risk by fitting models using restricted cubic splines for
225 absolute UPF intake with 3 knots (placed at the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles). The
226 P value for nonlinearity was estimated by the Wald test. All covariates were entered into
227 the models in the forms previously described in the covariates assessment section unless
228 otherwise specified.

229 We evaluated the potential effect modification by repeating model 2 stratified by
230 age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, tea intake, coffee
231 intake, and whether lived alone. P for interaction was calculated using the Wald test for
232 cross-product terms (UPF quintiles \times stratification variables). We examined the
233 association of UPF subgroups with mortality risk with 7 subgroups simultaneously
234 included in the Cox model. To examine whether heterogeneous associations with
235 mortality risk existed for 7 UPF subgroups, we used the likelihood ratio test to compare
236 two models with one included total UPF intake and the other included total UPF intake
237 plus 7 subgroups; the improved fit for the latter model indicates the existence of
238 heterogeneity.

239 We performed the following sensitivity analyses: (1) repeating the models after
240 excluding participants with prevalent CVD, cancer, or diabetes (n=3518) at baseline,
241 excluding deaths occurring within the first 10 years of follow-up (n=2279), excluding

242 energy misreporters (n=5110) or diet changers (n=6741); (2) further adjusted for other
243 three NOVA-defined food groups instead of coffee and tea intake; (3) further adjusted
244 for intake of folate, vitamin D, vitamin C, calcium, iron, potassium, magnesium,
245 selenium, and zinc; (4) using the proportion of UPF weight in total food as exposure;
246 (5) using an alternative categorization of the UPF as exposure; (6) further adjusted for
247 BMI and diet quality index in spline analysis; (7) further adjusted for the EAT-Lancet
248 diet index[39] (derived from 14 food components) instead of diet quality index.

249 **Analysis 2 (UPF intake, plasma biomarkers, and mortality risk)**

250 The metabolites of all four NOVA-defined food groups were analyzed to assess
251 whether these food groups exhibited diverse metabolic signatures and whether these
252 metabolic signatures mirrored the associations observed in food intakes. Given the high
253 dimensionality and collinearity of the metabolomic data, an elastic net regression model
254 was employed for metabolite selection. The hyperparameters were selected through 10-
255 fold cross-validation (R package: “caret”). Participants were randomly assigned into a
256 training set (70%) and a testing set (30%). First, we fitted the elastic net regression
257 models in the training set and then used the coefficients (weights) to construct the
258 metabolic profile score—a weighted sum of the selected metabolites—in the testing set.
259 In the training set, the metabolic profile score was constructed using an elastic net
260 regression model combined with a leave-one-out approach to avoid overfitting. These
261 methods have been used to identify the metabolic signature of dietary patterns and
262 specific food items[28, 29]. Partial correlations of four food groups with biomarkers

263 were presented in the heatmaps, adjusting for covariates in model 3 (excluding method,
264 coffee, and tea) plus hypertension, diabetes, and lipid-lowering medication. Tertiles
265 were generated for each metabolic profile score, and Cox proportional hazards
266 regression models were used to estimate their associations with all-cause mortality.

267 We used R version 4.2.1 (R Foundation) in statistical analyses. All tests were 2-
268 sided and the significant level was 0.05.

269 **3. Results**

270 **3.1 Baseline characteristics**

271 This study included 27670 participants (60.7% females), with a mean age of 58.1
272 years (SD, 7.6 years). The mean proportion of UPF in total food is 13.4% (SD, 7.3%).
273 Compared with those in the lowest quintile of UPF intake, participants in the highest
274 quintile group were more likely to be older, female, and never smoker and to drink less
275 alcohol. They also tended to have a higher BMI, and prevalence of cancer, CVD, and
276 diabetes, but they were less likely to have a university degree. In addition, they had a
277 lower diet quality index, and a lower intake of fiber, coffee, and tea (Table 1). The main
278 subgroups contributing to the total UPF were starchy foods and breakfast cereals
279 (percentage, 26.0%), beverages (23.3%), and sugary products (18.4%), fats and sauces
280 (15.5%), and meat and fish (13.6%) (Supplementary Table 2).

281 **3.2 Dietary UPF intake and mortality risk**

282 During a median follow-up of 23.3 years (582853 person-years), a total of 11333
283 participants died (of cancer, 3938; of CVD, 3709; of respiratory disease, 758), with

284 3672 dying before age 75 (Table 2). The intake of UPF was positively associated with
285 all-cause mortality in a nonlinear manner ($P_{\text{nonlinear}}=0.022$), with increased mortality
286 risk beginning to appear only when UPF intake exceeded the median (Fig. 1a). This
287 association was modified by sex ($P_{\text{interaction}}=0.04$); in females, UPF was associated with
288 a higher mortality risk in a linear manner; while in males, the associations were J-
289 shaped (Fig. 1b). Higher mortality risk with increased UPF intake was more
290 pronounced in females. Relative to the lowest quintile of UPF intake, HR (95% CI) of
291 all-cause mortality was 1.06 (1.00-1.12) for the fifth quintile (Table 2). This association
292 was attenuated markedly with the progressive inclusions of potential mediators (diet
293 quality index and BMI). In the main model, each SD increment in UPF intake was
294 associated with an increased risk of premature mortality (HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.03–1.09),
295 CVD mortality (HR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.01–1.08) or respiratory disease mortality (HR,
296 1.08; 95% CI, 1.01-1.15). UPF intake was not associated with cancer mortality.

297 Sex modified the association between UPF and CVD mortality in a similar way as
298 it did the association of UPF with all-cause mortality ($P_{\text{interaction}}=0.037$) (Supplementary
299 Fig. 2). The positive association of UPF intake with premature mortality and respiratory
300 disease mortality was not modified by sex ($P_{\text{interaction}} > 0.05$).

301 For the UPF subgroups, we observed heterogeneity in the associations with all-
302 cause, premature, and CVD mortality risk ($P_{\text{heterogeneity}} < 0.001$) (Supplementary Fig. 3).
303 Intakes of beverages group and meat and fish group were positively associated with all-
304 cause mortality risk, whereas sugary products showed an inverse association. These

305 findings were similar in analyses of premature and CVD mortality. Other UPF
306 subgroups were not associated with all-cause, premature, and CVD mortality risk.

307 In analyses stratified by age, drinking status, coffee intake, tea intake, and whether
308 lived alone, the associations between UPF intake with all-cause, premature, cause-
309 specific mortality risk were similar across strata ($P_{\text{interaction}} > 0.05$; Supplementary Table
310 3). The association with all-cause mortality was weaker in individuals with higher
311 levels of physical activity compared to those who were less physically active
312 ($P_{\text{interaction}}=0.014$; data not shown). Increased respiratory disease mortality risk in
313 relation to a higher intake of UPF seems more pronounced in never smokers
314 ($P_{\text{interaction}}=0.027$; data not shown). In sensitivity analyses, the elevated mortality risk
315 above the median of UPF intake was robust, while less robust results were observed
316 when UPF intake below the median (Supplementary Fig. 4).

317 **3.3 Plasma metabolites, lipid and lipoproteins, and mortality risk**

318 A total of 93, 49, 23, and 96 metabolites were selected as the metabolic signatures
319 of UPF, unprocessed or minimally processed foods, processed foods, and PCI,
320 respectively. The metabolic signatures were significantly correlated with the
321 corresponding food groups ($r = 0.21-0.32$, $P < 0.001$) (Supplementary Fig. 5). The
322 metabolic signature of UPF was positively associated with all-cause mortality risk, with
323 each SD increase in the metabolic profile score linked to a 23% higher mortality risk
324 (HR=1.23; 95% CI, 1.06-1.42; $P=0.005$) (Table 3). Conversely, the processed foods

325 signature showed an inverse association with all-cause mortality risk (HR per 1 SD,
326 0.87; 95%CI, 0.77-0.97; P=0.015).

327 As shown in the Venn diagram, UPF shared 20 metabolites and 11 metabolites
328 with PCI and unprocessed or minimally processed foods, respectively (Supplementary
329 Fig. 6). Ergothioneine was the only metabolite selected by all four groups of food,
330 which showed positive associations with unprocessed or minimally processed foods
331 and processed foods but inverse associations with UPF and PCI. The
332 perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) showed positive associations with UPF and PCI but
333 an inverse association with unprocessed or minimally processed foods (Supplementary
334 Table 4).

335 After adjusting for covariates, the top selected metabolites that showed significant
336 negative association with UPF were N2,N5-diacetylornithine, ergothioneine, methyl
337 glucopyranoside (alpha+beta), heneicosapentaenoate (21:5n3), and N-delta-
338 acetylornithine. In contrast, glutamine_degradant, 2-hydroxy-4-(methylthio) butanoic
339 acid, X-18887, gamma-tocopherol/beta-tocopherol, and X-23276 showed a positive
340 association. As compared to UPF, both unprocessed or minimally processed foods and
341 processed foods tend to demonstrate opposite associations with 93 metabolites
342 comprising the metabolic signature of UPF (Fig. 2).

343 The higher intake of UPF was related to unfavorable lipid and lipoprotein profiles,
344 indicated by lower levels of large HDL, HDL, and large IDL, but higher levels of large
345 VLDL, small LDL, and TG (Supplementary Fig. 7). The four groups of food showed

346 distinct lipid and lipoprotein patterns, with unprocessed or minimally processed foods
347 showing a reverse pattern compared to UPF.

348 **4. Discussion**

349 In this large population-based prospective cohort, we found nonlinear positive
350 associations of UPF intake with all-cause and CVD mortality; sex modified these
351 associations. High intake of UPF was positively associated with premature mortality
352 and respiratory disease mortality whereas no association was found for cancer mortality.
353 Specific UPF subgroups showed heterogeneous associations with all-cause, premature
354 and CVD mortality. The metabolic signature of UPF was positively associated with all-
355 cause mortality risk. Higher UPF intake was related to unfavorable lipid and lipoprotein
356 profiles.

357 **4.1 UPF intake and mortality risk**

358 Our observed positive association with all-cause mortality was supported by a
359 recent meta-analysis[40] and four subsequent cohort studies[17-19, 21]. However, a
360 large US cohort[23] reported a null association. Of note, this cohort mainly included
361 low-income American adults with a mean BMI of 30.4 kg/m², and 24.4% of them had
362 prevalent diabetes; apparent differences in baseline characteristics compared to other
363 studies may interpret this inconsistency. Five previous studies evaluated the dose-
364 response relationship between UPF intake and death risk from all causes or CVD [10,
365 14, 15, 17, 20]. Of those, four studies[10, 15, 17, 20] did not find a significant nonlinear
366 association, whereas a large US cohort (n= 91891)[14] exhibited a J-shaped association

367 between UPF intake and CVD mortality. The reported associations between UPF and
368 CVD death are less consistent. Four studies[14, 18, 20, 22] showed a significant
369 positive association, while three[10, 11, 17] did not reach statistical significance likely
370 due to their small number of CVD deaths (n=71-649 cases).

371 We found that positive associations with all-cause and CVD mortality appeared to
372 be stronger in females. Five previous studies[10, 14, 18, 20, 22] performed stratified
373 analysis by sex, with four reporting null interaction, while one, which had the largest
374 number of mortality events, reported a more pronounced association with CVD
375 mortality in females as in our study[14]. This finding is reasonable, given the reported
376 stronger associations of processed meat and beverages with all-cause and CVD
377 mortality in females compared to males[41, 42].

378 Our observed null association of UPF intake with cancer mortality was consistent
379 with five cohorts from Spain[10], Italy[20], and the US[16, 21, 23] but not with one
380 from the UK biobank cohort[43] which found a 6% increase of cancer death risk for
381 every 10% increment in UPF intake. This inconsistency may not be explained by
382 statistical power, as the number of cancer deaths in our study (3938) and US cohorts
383 (4267 and 13557) [21, 23] is similar to or larger than that in the UK biobank (4009)
384 [43]. Nor can it be attributed to the amount of UPF intake since the mean proportion of
385 UPF in total food in this UK biobank cohort (22.9%) falls within the range of previous
386 studies (ranging from 10.8% to 41.0%). Our observation of a positive association
387 between UPF intake and respiratory disease mortality is supported by two studies based

388 on US cohorts [16, 21]. This study is the first to examine the association between UPF
389 intake and premature mortality.

390 Our results on UPF subgroups were largely consistent with previous studies [14,
391 21]. Our observed increased death risk related to high UPF intake largely contributed
392 by subgroups of beverages and ultra-processed meat products considering their large
393 proportion (36.9%) in total UPF. Sugar-sweetened beverages and processed meat have
394 been shown to be associated with a higher risk of all-cause mortality[44, 45]. Inverse
395 association of sugary products intake with CVD mortality was also supported by these
396 two large US cohorts[14, 21]. There is limited epidemiological evidence regarding
397 sugary products, and further study is required to confirm these findings. Besides, this
398 result should be interpreted with caution considering UPF collected in our baseline may
399 not be entirely equivalent to the current UPF as varieties and compositions of UPF have
400 undergone significant changes over the past decades[2].

401 UPFs are often high in sodium, fat, added sugar, and energy, but low in fiber,
402 vitamins, and micronutrients, which explains our findings. In addition, some commonly
403 used food additives (e.g., emulsifiers and artificial sweeteners) in UPF [8, 46], newly
404 generated compounds during UPF manufacturing (e.g., acrylamide) [7, 47], and
405 contaminants migrated from food packaging (e.g., bisphenol A) [48] may also
406 contribute to the adverse health effects of UPFs.

407 Notably, when UPF intake was below the median, higher UPF intake showed no
408 association (in females) or an inverse association (in males) with all-cause mortality.

409 This may be due to poor health leading to less UPF intake or survival benefits from
410 moderate sugary products consumption. Additionally, less robust association in
411 sensitive analyses suggest that reverse causality, residual confounding, or measurement
412 error might also explain this finding.

413 **4.2 Plasma metabolites, lipid and lipoproteins, and mortality risk**

414 Our analysis of plasma biomarkers provided some novel insights in understanding
415 UPF-mortality association. The identified signatures tend to mirror the relationship
416 between dietary intake and mortality risk, despite the analysis of metabolic signatures
417 being based on the small subset of the MDC. Ergothioneine, a diet-derived amino acid
418 that has strong antioxidant and cytoprotective properties, is found in higher
419 concentrations in specific foods like specialty mushrooms, liver, kidney, beans, and oat
420 bran, rather than in most commonly consumed foods[49]. N2,N5-Diacetylornithine and
421 N-delta-acetylornithine, both amino acids involved in the urea cycle and arginine and
422 proline metabolism, have been positively associated with the consumption of legumes
423 and papaya[29, 50]. N2,N5-Diacetylornithine is also recognized as a plasma biomarker
424 reflecting gut microbiome diversity[51]. Methyl glucopyranoside (alpha + beta) was
425 previously correlated with apple intake and carotene diol (2) was correlated with
426 cruciferous vegetable intake[50]. Overall, these metabolites showed negative
427 associations with UPF intake but positive associations with the intake of plant foods.
428 Some of these metabolites have shown potential health benefits[49], indicating that the

429 observed mortality risk with higher UPF consumption may be partly due to the
430 accompanied lower intake of some plant foods.

431 Our results confirmed previous findings of a positive association between UPF
432 and vitamin E (gamma-tocopherol)[52]. This association is possibly due to tocopherols
433 often being used as an additive to prevent the peroxidation of fats and lipids in foods[53].
434 PFOS, a compound widely found in commonly consumed foods, was correlated with
435 UPF and PCI but negatively with unprocessed or minimally processed foods; this could
436 be explained by the migration of PFOS from food packaging[54]. Some other top
437 metabolites in the signature, such as glutamine degradant and 2-hydroxy-4-(methylthio)
438 butanoic acid, were rarely linked to foods or health outcomes in previous studies. The
439 only study concerning the metabolic profile of UPF covers 232 candidate metabolites
440 that have minimal overlap with our metabolite panel and was conducted among
441 children[30]. Therefore, most associations of metabolites with UPF and the other three
442 groups of food were first identified in our study, and further replications were warranted.

443 In MDC-CC, our results, covering more lipids and lipoproteins than most previous
444 studies, supported the previous findings of unfavorable lipid and lipoprotein profiles
445 related to higher intake of UPF[30, 55, 56]; this suggests that lipid metabolism may
446 constitute one of the potential mechanisms underlying the detrimental effects of UPF.

447 In addition, we observed an inverse association between the processed foods
448 signature and mortality risk; this is plausible as a similar association between intake of
449 processed foods and mortality risk was found in our study (data not shown). Some

450 major food items in processed foods, such as cheese, high-fiber bread, cereals, and
451 crispbread, may contribute to this association. Some metabolites that showed a positive
452 association with processed foods have been linked to food intake in previous studies.
453 The aforementioned ergothioneine and N-delta-acetylmethionine showed opposite
454 associations with UPF and processed foods. Ectoine has been found in cheese and is
455 associated with the fermentation process, while 2-aminophenol sulfate has been linked
456 to the intake of wholegrain foods[50, 57]. Taken together, biomarkers seem to capture
457 the information on the intake of several food items in processed foods.

458 **4.3 Strengths and limitations**

459 To our knowledge, this population-based cohort study is the first to integrate a
460 large panel of metabolites (n=991), lipids, and lipoprotein subfractions in assessing the
461 association between UPF intake and mortality risk. Several key strengths of this study
462 include its prospective design, large sample size (27670 participants with 11333 deaths),
463 long follow-up time (a median of 23.3 years), a low rate of loss to follow-up (0.8%),
464 and the use of validated food assessing method combining FFQ and food diary.

465 Several limitations of this study should be noted. First, residual confounding may
466 still exist although a wide range of potential confounders were adjusted. Second,
467 misclassification of UPF is possible since our FFQ and food diary were not specifically
468 developed for assessing UPF intake. Third, the dietary assessment was conducted only
469 once at baseline, which may not represent the long-term UPF intake. Nevertheless, in
470 the five-year follow-up survey, only 16.8% (3740 out of 22262) of the participants

471 reported substantially altering their diet. Most of these changes were towards a healthier
472 way, including more intake of vegetables (73.9%) and fruits (64.7%), and less meat
473 (43.3%). The common reasons for the change were overweight (32.2%) and
474 hypertension (19.3%). As a result, such a direction of change may lead to an
475 underestimation of our findings. Fourth, our analyses concerning biomarkers were
476 cross-sectional and not validated in external cohorts, so future replications are necessary.
477 Finally, this cohort only recruited participants living in Sweden, which limits the
478 generalizability of these findings to other populations.

479 **4.4 Conclusions**

480 Our findings suggest that UPF intake was positively associated with all-cause,
481 premature, CVD, and respiratory disease mortality, but not with cancer mortality. The
482 risk increase of all-cause and CVD mortality was more apparent in females. Findings
483 from UPF subgroups suggested that special attention should be given to ultra-processed
484 meats and beverages when considering restrictions on UPF intake. Our identified
485 metabolic signature mirrored the association between UPF consumption and mortality
486 risk. The identified metabolites provided insights into understanding UPF-related
487 metabolic variations and underlying mechanisms linking UPF intake and mortality risk.
488 Future validations of these findings are warranted.

489

490 **Funding:** This study was funded by Crafoord Foundation (Dnr 20220887), Magnus
491 Bergvall Foundation (2022-293), Albert Pålsson Foundation (20220021), Swedish

492 Research Council (2020-01412), and Heart and Lung Foundation (20220444,
493 20220662).

494 **Author contributions:** YD, YB, and ES designed the study; YD performed the
495 statistical analysis and wrote the paper; YD, SZ, JS, DH, JGS, LQ, YB, and ES
496 contributed to the interpretation of results and revision of the manuscript. All authors
497 read and approved the final version.

498 **Data availability:** Supporting data are available from the corresponding author upon
499 reasonable request but access to data must be granted by the MDC steering committees.

500 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

501 **Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank all the Malmö Diet and Cancer
502 Study participants and staff for their contribution to the study.

503

504 **References**

- 505 [1] Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Levy RB, Moubarac J-C, Louzada ML, Rauber F, et al.
506 Ultra-processed foods: what they are and how to identify them. *Public Health Nutr.*
507 2019;22:936-41.
- 508 [2] Baker P, Machado P, Santos T, Sievert K, Backholer K, Hadjidakou M, et al. Ultra-
509 processed foods and the nutrition transition: Global, regional and national trends, food
510 systems transformations and political economy drivers. *Obes Rev.* 2020;21:e13126.
- 511 [3] Monteiro CA, Moubarac JC, Cannon G, Ng SW, Popkin B. Ultra-processed
512 products are becoming dominant in the global food system. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14 Suppl
513 2:21-8.
- 514 [4] Baraldi LG, Martinez Steele E, Canella DS, Monteiro CA. Consumption of ultra-
515 processed foods and associated sociodemographic factors in the USA between 2007
516 and 2012: evidence from a nationally representative cross-sectional study. *BMJ open.*
517 2018;8:e020574.
- 518 [5] Mertens E, Colizzi C, Penalvo JL. Ultra-processed food consumption in adults
519 across Europe. *Eur J Nutr.* 2022;61:1521-39.
- 520 [6] Liu J, Steele EM, Li Y, Karageorgou D, Micha R, Monteiro CA, et al. Consumption
521 of Ultraprocessed Foods and Diet Quality Among U.S. Children and Adults. *Am J Prev*
522 *Med.* 2022;62:252-64.
- 523 [7] Komoike Y, Matsuoka M. In vitro and in vivo studies of oxidative stress responses
524 against acrylamide toxicity in zebrafish. *J Hazard Mater.* 2019;365:430-9.

- 525 [8] Chassaing B, Koren O, Goodrich JK, Poole AC, Srinivasan S, Ley RE, et al. Dietary
526 emulsifiers impact the mouse gut microbiota promoting colitis and metabolic syndrome.
527 Nature. 2015;519:92-6.
- 528 [9] Aboul Ezz HS, Khadrawy YA, Mourad IM. The effect of bisphenol A on some
529 oxidative stress parameters and acetylcholinesterase activity in the heart of male albino
530 rats. Cytotechnology. 2015;67:145-55.
- 531 [10] Rico-Campa A, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Alvarez-Alvarez I, Mendonca RD, de la
532 Fuente-Arrillaga C, Gomez-Donoso C, et al. Association between consumption of ultra-
533 processed foods and all cause mortality: SUN prospective cohort study. BMJ.
534 2019;365:11949.
- 535 [11] Kim H, Hu EA, Rebholz CM. Ultra-processed food intake and mortality in the
536 USA: results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
537 (NHANES III, 1988-1994). Public Health Nutr. 2019;22:1777-85.
- 538 [12] Blanco-Rojo R, Sandoval-Insausti H, Lopez-Garcia E, Graciani A, Ordovas JM,
539 Banegas JR, et al. Consumption of Ultra-Processed Foods and Mortality: A National
540 Prospective Cohort in Spain. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94:2178-88.
- 541 [13] Schnabel L, Kesse-Guyot E, Alles B, Touvier M, Srour B, Hercberg S, et al.
542 Association Between Ultraprocessed Food Consumption and Risk of Mortality Among
543 Middle-aged Adults in France. JAMA Intern Med. 2019;179:490-8.
- 544 [14] Zhong GC, Gu HT, Peng Y, Wang K, Wu YQ, Hu TY, et al. Association of ultra-
545 processed food consumption with cardiovascular mortality in the US population: long-

- 546 term results from a large prospective multicenter study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.*
547 2021;18:21.
- 548 [15] Romero Ferreiro C, Martin-Arriscado Arroba C, Cancelas Navia P, Lora Pablos D,
549 Gomez de la Camara A. Ultra-processed food intake and all-cause mortality: DRECE
550 cohort study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2021;25:1-10.
- 551 [16] Orlich MJ, Sabate J, Mashchak A, Fresan U, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Miles F, et al. Ultra-
552 processed food intake and animal-based food intake and mortality in the Adventist
553 Health Study-2. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2022;115:1589-601.
- 554 [17] Chen X, Chu J, Hu W, Sun N, He Q, Liu S, et al. Associations of ultra-processed
555 food consumption with cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality: UK Biobank.
556 *Eur J Public Health.* 2022;32:779-85.
- 557 [18] Dehghan M, Mente A, Rangarajan S, Mohan V, Swaminathan S, Avezum A, et al.
558 Ultra-processed foods and mortality: analysis from the Prospective Urban and Rural
559 Epidemiology study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2023;117:55-63.
- 560 [19] Vellinga RE, van den Boomgaard I, J MAB, van der Schouw YT, Harbers MC,
561 Verschuren WMM, et al. Different Levels of Ultraprocessed Food and Beverage
562 Consumption and Associations with Environmental Sustainability and All-cause
563 Mortality in EPIC-NL. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2023;118:103-13.
- 564 [20] Bonaccio M, Di Castelnuovo A, Costanzo S, De Curtis A, Persichillo M, Sofi F, et
565 al. Ultra-processed food consumption is associated with increased risk of all-cause and
566 cardiovascular mortality in the Moli-sani Study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2021;113:446-55.

- 567 [21] Fang Z, Rossato SL, Hang D, Khandpur N, Wang K, Lo CH, et al. Association of
568 ultra-processed food consumption with all cause and cause specific mortality:
569 population based cohort study. *BMJ*. 2024;385:e078476.
- 570 [22] Juul F, Vaidean G, Lin Y, Deierlein AL, Parekh N. Ultra-Processed Foods and
571 Incident Cardiovascular Disease in the Framingham Offspring Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*.
572 2021;77:1520-31.
- 573 [23] Wang L, Pan XF, Munro HM, Shrubsole MJ, Yu D. Consumption of ultra-
574 processed foods and all-cause and cause-specific mortality in the Southern Community
575 Cohort Study. *Clin Nutr*. 2023;42:1866-74.
- 576 [24] Fardet A, Rock E. Ultra-processed foods: A new holistic paradigm? *Trends Food*
577 *Sci Technol*. 2019;93:174-84.
- 578 [25] Vadiveloo MK, Gardner CD. Not All Ultra-Processed Foods Are Created Equal: A
579 Case for Advancing Research and Policy That Balances Health and Nutrition Security.
580 *Diabetes care*. 2023;46:1327-9.
- 581 [26] Guasch-Ferre M, Bhupathiraju SN, Hu FB. Use of Metabolomics in Improving
582 Assessment of Dietary Intake. *Clin Chem*. 2018;64:82-98.
- 583 [27] Guertin KA, Moore SC, Sampson JN, Huang WY, Xiao Q, Stolzenberg-Solomon
584 RZ, et al. Metabolomics in nutritional epidemiology: identifying metabolites associated
585 with diet and quantifying their potential to uncover diet-disease relations in populations.
586 *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2014;100:208-17.
- 587 [28] Li J, Guasch-Ferre M, Chung W, Ruiz-Canela M, Toledo E, Corella D, et al. The

- 588 Mediterranean diet, plasma metabolome, and cardiovascular disease risk. *Eur Heart J.*
589 2020;41:2645-56.
- 590 [29] Margara-Escudero HJ, Paz-Graniel I, Garcia-Gavilan J, Ruiz-Canela M, Sun Q,
591 Clish CB, et al. Plasma metabolite profile of legume consumption and future risk of
592 type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2024;23:38.
- 593 [30] Handakas E, Chang K, Khandpur N, Vamos EP, Millett C, Sassi F, et al. Metabolic
594 profiles of ultra-processed food consumption and their role in obesity risk in British
595 children. *Clin Nutr.* 2022;41:2537-48.
- 596 [31] Berglund G, Elmståhl S, Janzon L, Larsson SA. The Malmo Diet and Cancer Study.
597 Design and feasibility. *J Intern Med.* 1993;233:45-51.
- 598 [32] Manjer J, Carlsson S, Elmståhl S, Gullberg B, Janzon L, Lindström M, et al. The
599 Malmö Diet and Cancer Study: representativity, cancer incidence and mortality in
600 participants and non-participants. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2001;10:489-99.
- 601 [33] Elmståhl S, Riboli E, Lindgärde F, Gullberg B, Saracci R. The Malmö Food Study:
602 the relative validity of a modified diet history method and an extensive food frequency
603 questionnaire for measuring food intake. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 1996;50:143-51.
- 604 [34] Elmståhl S, Gullberg B, Riboli E, Saracci R, Lindgärde F. The Malmö Food Study:
605 the reproducibility of a novel diet history method and an extensive food frequency
606 questionnaire. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 1996;50:134-42.
- 607 [35] Zhang S, Li H, Engstrom G, Niu K, Qi L, Borne Y, et al. Milk intake, lactase
608 persistence genotype, plasma proteins and risks of cardiovascular events in the Swedish

- 609 general population. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2023;38:211-24.
- 610 [36] Lewer D, Jayatunga W, Aldridge RW, Edge C, Marmot M, Story A, et al. Premature
611 mortality attributable to socioeconomic inequality in England between 2003 and 2018:
612 an observational study. *Lancet Public Health.* 2020;5:e33-e41.
- 613 [37] Gonzalez-Padilla E, Tao Z, Sanchez-Villegas A, Alvarez-Perez J, Borne Y,
614 Sonestedt E. Association between Adherence to Swedish Dietary Guidelines and
615 Mediterranean Diet and Risk of Stroke in a Swedish Population. *Nutrients.* 2022;14.
- 616 [38] Mattisson I, Wirfält E, Aronsson CA, Wallström P, Sonestedt E, Gullberg B, et al.
617 Misreporting of energy: prevalence, characteristics of misreporters and influence on
618 observed risk estimates in the Malmö Diet and Cancer cohort. *Br J Nutr.* 2005;94:832-
619 42.
- 620 [39] Stubbendorff A, Sonestedt E, Ramne S, Drake I, Hallstrom E, Ericson U.
621 Development of an EAT-Lancet index and its relation to mortality in a Swedish
622 population. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2022;115:705-16.
- 623 [40] Yuan L, Hu H, Li T, Zhang J, Feng Y, Yang X, et al. Dose-response meta-analysis
624 of ultra-processed food with the risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality:
625 evidence from prospective cohort studies. *Food Funct.* 2023;14:2586-96.
- 626 [41] Wang X, Lin X, Ouyang YY, Liu J, Zhao G, Pan A, et al. Red and processed meat
627 consumption and mortality: dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies.
628 *Public Health Nutr.* 2016;19:893-905.
- 629 [42] Malik VS, Li Y, Pan A, De Koning L, Schernhammer E, Willett WC, et al. Long-

- 630 Term Consumption of Sugar-Sweetened and Artificially Sweetened Beverages and Risk
631 of Mortality in US Adults. *Circulation*. 2019;139:2113-25.
- 632 [43] Chang K, Gunter MJ, Rauber F, Levy RB, Huybrechts I, Kliemann N, et al. Ultra-
633 processed food consumption, cancer risk and cancer mortality: a large-scale prospective
634 analysis within the UK Biobank. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2023;56:101840.
- 635 [44] Kazemi A, Soltani S, Mokhtari Z, Khan T, Golzarand M, Hosseini E, et al. The
636 relationship between major food sources of fructose and cardiovascular disease, cancer,
637 and all-cause mortality: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cohort
638 studies. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2023;63:4274-87.
- 639 [45] Zheng Y, Li Y, Satija A, Pan A, Sotos-Prieto M, Rimm E, et al. Association of
640 changes in red meat consumption with total and cause specific mortality among US
641 women and men: two prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*. 2019;365:l2110.
- 642 [46] Risdon S, Meyer G, Marziou A, Riva C, Roustit M, Walther G. Artificial
643 sweeteners impair endothelial vascular reactivity: Preliminary results in rodents. *Nutr*
644 *Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2020;30:843-6.
- 645 [47] Huang M, Jiao J, Wang J, Chen X, Zhang Y. Associations of hemoglobin biomarker
646 levels of acrylamide and all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality among U.S.
647 adults: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003-2006. *Environ Pollut*.
648 2018;238:852-8.
- 649 [48] Moon S, Yu SH, Lee CB, Park YJ, Yoo HJ, Kim DS. Effects of bisphenol A on
650 cardiovascular disease: An epidemiological study using National Health and Nutrition

- 651 Examination Survey 2003-2016 and meta-analysis. *Sci Total Environ.*
652 2021;763:142941.
- 653 [49] Ey J, Schomig E, Taubert D. Dietary sources and antioxidant effects of
654 ergothioneine. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2007;55:6466-74.
- 655 [50] Low DY, Mina TH, Sadhu N, Wong KE, Jain PR, Dalan R, et al. Metabolic
656 variation reflects dietary intake in a multi-ethnic Asian population. *medRxiv.*
657 2023:2023.12.04.23299350.
- 658 [51] Wilmanski T, Rappaport N, Earls JC, Magis AT, Manor O, Lovejoy J, et al. Blood
659 metabolome predicts gut microbiome alpha-diversity in humans. *Nat Biotechnol.*
660 2019;37:1217-28.
- 661 [52] Kelsey PT, Papadopoulou E, Borge TC, Dahl C, Brantsaeter AL, Erlund I, et al.
662 Ultra-processed food consumption and associations with biomarkers of nutrition and
663 inflammation in pregnancy: The Norwegian Environmental Biobank. *Front Nutr.*
664 2022;9:1052001.
- 665 [53] Scientific Opinion on the re - evaluation of tocopherol - rich extract (E 306), α
666 - tocopherol (E 307), γ - tocopherol (E 308) and δ - tocopherol (E 309) as food
667 additives. *EFSA Journal.* 2015;13.
- 668 [54] Susmann HP, Schaidler LA, Rodgers KM, Rudel RA. Dietary Habits Related to
669 Food Packaging and Population Exposure to PFASs. *Environ Health Perspect.*
670 2019;127:107003.
- 671 [55] Donat-Vargas C, Sandoval-Insausti H, Rey-Garcia J, Moreno-Franco B, Akesson

- 672 A, Banegas JR, et al. High Consumption of Ultra-Processed Food is Associated with
673 Incident Dyslipidemia: A Prospective Study of Older Adults. *J Nutr.* 2021;151:2390-8.
- 674 [56] Scaranni P, de Oliveira Cardoso L, Griep RH, Lotufo PA, Barreto SM, da Fonseca
675 MJM. Consumption of ultra-processed foods and incidence of dyslipidemias: the
676 Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil). *Br J Nutr.* 2022:1-22.
- 677 [57] Klein J, Schwarz T, Lentzen G. Ectoine as a natural component of food: detection
678 in red smear cheeses. *J Dairy Res.* 2007;74:446-51.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants by quintiles of energy-adjusted UPF consumption (g/day)^a

Characteristics	Total	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Participants, n	27670	5534	5534	5534	5534	5534
Age, years	58.11±7.63	57.09±7.10	58.02±7.60	58.62±7.78	58.55±7.77	58.26±7.78
Female	16787 (60.7)	2855 (51.6)	3626 (65.5)	3665 (66.2)	3536 (63.9)	3105 (56.1)
Body mass index, kg/m ²	25.73±3.96	25.38±3.71	25.53±3.81	25.65±3.97	25.87±4.02	26.21±4.24
Married	18073 (65.3)	3505 (63.3)	3654 (66.0)	3765 (68.0)	3622 (65.5)	3527 (63.7)
Lived alone	6734 (24.3)	1427 (25.8)	1306 (23.6)	1254 (22.7)	1325 (23.9)	1422 (25.7)
University degree	3949 (14.3)	1225 (22.1)	928 (16.8)	672 (12.1)	587 (10.6)	537 (9.7)
Smoking status						
Current	7798 (28.2)	1684 (30.4)	1506 (27.2)	1496 (27.0)	1559 (28.2)	1553 (28.1)
Former	9374 (33.9)	2076 (37.5)	1939 (35.0)	1820 (32.9)	1689 (30.5)	1850 (33.4)
Never	10498 (37.9)	1774 (32.1)	2089 (37.8)	2218 (40.1)	2286 (41.3)	2131 (38.5)
Zero-consumers of alcohol	1737 (6.3)	215 (3.9)	218 (3.9)	359 (6.5)	437 (7.9)	508 (9.2)
High leisure-time physical activity (> 50METh/week)	4454 (16.1)	1002 (18.1)	891 (16.1)	790 (14.3)	819 (14.8)	952 (17.2)
Heredity score of cancer (>0)	12752 (46.1)	2514 (45.4)	2569 (46.4)	2607 (47.1)	2553 (46.1)	2509 (45.3)
Heredity score of CVD (>0)	14728 (53.2)	2818 (50.9)	2943 (53.2)	2956 (53.4)	3065 (55.4)	2946 (53.2)
Conditions at baseline:						
Cancer	1713 (6.2)	292 (5.3)	319 (5.8)	356 (6.4)	380 (6.9)	366 (6.6)
CVD	829 (3.0)	130 (2.4)	138 (2.5)	173 (3.1)	173 (3.1)	215 (3.9)
Diabetes	1209 (4.4)	225 (4.1)	197 (3.6)	264 (4.8)	241 (4.4)	282 (5.1)
Dietary intake:						

Diet quality index	1.94±1.29	2.52±1.28	2.27±1.26	1.96±1.22	1.66±1.17	1.29±1.11
Energy-adjusted UPF, g/day	379.62±176.76	201.31±60.00	293.23±16.30	347.14±16.02	416.69±26.55	639.71±205.09
Total energy intake, kcal/day	2275.83±653.22	2543.61±660.87	2174.94±582.13	2096.38±593.19	2168.71±621.16	2395.54±693.22
Proportion of UPF weight in total food, %	13.40±7.29	8.25±3.84	9.68±4.11	11.65±4.32	14.77±4.76	22.66±7.84
Coffee, g/day	518.70±394.18	551.89±418.06	516.03±385.23	508.72±380.84	518.99±390.00	497.86±393.75
Tea, g/day	146.15±251.17	171.95±288.47	150.37±252.46	136.42±238.05	133.98±235.93	138.05±234.94
Fiber, g/1000 Kcal	9.37±2.78	9.93±3.27	9.78±2.82	9.41±2.58	9.08±2.49	8.65±2.44
Saturated fat, E%	16.77±3.87	17.68±4.39	16.99±3.85	16.77±3.65	16.42±3.60	16.00±3.57
Added sugars, E%	9.99±4.31	8.03±3.65	8.61±3.48	9.29±3.55	10.44±3.66	13.60±4.67

^aVariables are presented as mean± SD or n (%).

Table 2 Hazard ratios (95% CIs) for all-cause, premature and cause-specific mortality by energy-adjusted UPF consumption ^a

	Quintiles of UPF consumption					P _{nonlinear} ^b	Continuous ^c	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5		Per SD increase	P
All cause						0.022		
Cases/person years	2124/118952	2147/118349	2252/116672	2366/115371	2444/113510			
Model 1	1.00	0.96 (0.91-1.02)	0.97 (0.91-1.03)	1.04 (0.98-1.11)	1.10 (1.04-1.17)		1.06 (1.04-1.08)	<0.001
Model 2 (main)	1.00	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	1.03 (0.97-1.09)	1.06 (1.00-1.12)		1.03 (1.02-1.05)	<0.001
Model 3	1.00	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	0.96 (0.90-1.03)	1.01 (0.95-1.07)	1.03 (0.97-1.10)		1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.003
Model 4	1.00	0.98 (0.92-1.04)	0.96 (0.90-1.02)	1.00 (0.94-1.07)	1.02 (0.96-1.09)		1.03 (1.01-1.05)	0.008
Premature mortality						0.177		
Cases	774	664	659	729	846			
Model 1	1.00	0.94 (0.85-1.05)	0.96 (0.86-1.06)	1.05 (0.95-1.17)	1.18 (1.07-1.30)		1.10 (1.07-1.13)	<0.001
Model 2 (main)	1.00	1.00 (0.90-1.11)	0.97 (0.87-1.08)	1.02 (0.92-1.13)	1.10 (0.99-1.21)		1.06 (1.03-1.09)	<0.001
Model 3	1.00	0.99 (0.89-1.10)	0.95 (0.85-1.05)	0.99 (0.89-1.10)	1.05 (0.95-1.17)		1.05 (1.02-1.08)	0.002
Model 4	1.00	0.98 (0.88-1.09)	0.94 (0.84-1.05)	0.99 (0.89-1.10)	1.05 (0.94-1.16)		1.05 (1.01-1.08)	0.004
Cancer						0.932		
Cases	783	797	721	838	799			
Model 1	1.00	1.01 (0.92-1.12)	0.90 (0.81-1.00)	1.06 (0.96-1.17)	1.02 (0.93-1.13)		1.02 (0.99-1.05)	0.287
Model 2 (main)	1.00	1.03 (0.93-1.14)	0.91 (0.82-1.01)	1.05 (0.94-1.16)	1.00 (0.90-1.11)		1.01 (0.97-1.04)	0.757
Model 3	1.00	1.02 (0.92-1.12)	0.89 (0.80-0.99)	1.02 (0.91-1.13)	0.96 (0.87-1.07)		0.99 (0.96-1.03)	0.750
Model 4	1.00	1.01 (0.92-1.12)	0.89 (0.80-0.99)	1.01 (0.91-1.12)	0.96 (0.86-1.07)		0.99 (0.96-1.03)	0.672
CVD						0.091		
Cases	660	691	744	774	840			
Model 1	1.00	0.98 (0.88-1.10)	1.01 (0.90-1.12)	1.07 (0.96-1.19)	1.18 (1.06-1.30)		1.08 (1.05-1.12)	<0.001

Model 2 (main)	1.00	1.01 (0.90-1.12)	1.00 (0.89-1.11)	1.03 (0.92-1.15)	1.10 (0.99-1.22)	1.05 (1.01-1.08)	0.004
Model 3	1.00	1.00 (0.89-1.11)	0.98 (0.88-1.10)	1.01 (0.90-1.13)	1.07 (0.96-1.20)	1.04 (1.01-1.07)	0.013
Model 4	1.00	0.99 (0.89-1.11)	0.98 (0.88-1.09)	1.00 (0.89-1.12)	1.06 (0.95-1.19)	1.04 (1.00-1.07)	0.024
Respiratory disease							0.197
Cases	137	134	160	150	177	—	—
Model 1	1.00	0.90 (0.71-1.14)	1.02 (0.81-1.29)	0.99 (0.79-1.26)	1.21 (0.97-1.52)	1.11 (1.04-1.19)	0.001
Model 2 (main)	1.00	0.93 (0.73-1.18)	1.04 (0.82-1.32)	0.99 (0.78-1.26)	1.18 (0.93-1.49)	1.08 (1.01-1.15)	0.017
Model 3	1.00	0.91 (0.71-1.16)	1.01 (0.79-1.28)	0.95 (0.74-1.22)	1.11 (0.87-1.42)	1.07 (1.00-1.14)	0.051
Model 4	1.00	0.91 (0.71-1.16)	0.99 (0.77-1.26)	0.95 (0.74-1.22)	1.11 (0.87-1.42)	1.07 (1.00-1.15)	0.044

^a Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, dietary assessment version (method), season, total energy intake, and year of participants recruitment. Model 2: model 1 plus educational level, leisure-time physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, prevalent CVD, cancer and diabetes, heredity score of cancer and CVD, marital status, whether lived alone, coffee and tea intake. Model 3: model 2 plus diet quality index. Model 4: model 3 plus BMI.

^b $P_{\text{nonlinear}}$ was estimated using spline analysis in Model 2.

^c SD of energy-adjusted UPF = 176.76 g/day.

Table 3 Associations of the metabolic profile score of four NOVA-defined food groups with all-cause mortality risk^a

	Tertiles of metabolic profile score			P for trend	Continuous	
	T1	T2	T3		Per SD increase	P
UPF						
Cases/person years	100/6843	130/6650	156/6126	—	—	—
Model 1	1.00	1.33 (1.00-1.77)	1.83 (1.31-2.56)	<0.001	1.31 (1.14-1.50)	<0.001
Model 2	1.00	1.21 (0.91-1.62)	1.55 (1.10-2.21)	0.013	1.22 (1.06-1.41)	0.007
Model 3	1.00	1.28 (0.95-1.71)	1.63 (1.14-2.32)	0.007	1.23 (1.06-1.42)	0.005
Unprocessed or minimally processed foods						
Cases/person years	135/6500	130/6596	121/6523	—	—	—
Model 1	1.00	1.08 (0.85-1.38)	1.20 (0.93-1.54)	0.158	1.09 (0.98-1.21)	0.108
Model 2	1.00	1.00 (0.78-1.29)	1.06 (0.82-1.37)	0.674	1.04 (0.94-1.16)	0.449
Model 3	1.00	0.95 (0.73-1.22)	1.02 (0.79-1.33)	0.862	1.00 (0.90-1.12)	0.981
Processed foods						
Cases/person years	178/6128	115/6691	93/6799	—	—	—
Model 1	1.00	0.58 (0.46-0.73)	0.60 (0.46-0.78)	<0.001	0.78 (0.70-0.87)	<0.001
Model 2	1.00	0.64 (0.50-0.81)	0.75 (0.57-0.99)	0.014	0.85 (0.76-0.96)	0.007
Model 3	1.00	0.66 (0.52-0.85)	0.78 (0.59-1.03)	0.032	0.87 (0.77-0.97)	0.015
Processed culinary ingredients						
Cases/person years	115/6764	132/6490	139/6364	—	—	—
Model 1	1.00	1.11 (0.86-1.42)	1.08 (0.83-1.40)	0.580	1.09 (0.98-1.21)	0.102

Model 2	1.00	1.02 (0.79-1.32)	0.87 (0.66-1.15)	0.331	0.99 (0.88-1.10)	0.809
Model 3	1.00	1.08 (0.83-1.41)	0.93 (0.70-1.23)	0.615	1.02 (0.92-1.15)	0.667

Model 1 : adjusted for age, sex.

Model 2: model 1 plus season, year of participants recruitment, educational level, leisure-time physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, heredity score of cancer and CVD, and total energy intake.

Model 3: model 2 plus hypertension, diabetes, BMI, lipid-lowering medication.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Dose-response association between UPF and all-cause mortality (a) and stratified associations by sex ($P_{\text{interaction}}=0.044$) (b). The median UPF consumption (331.12 g/day) was used as reference level (HR=1). Multivariable model adjusted for age, sex, dietary assessment version (method), season, total energy intake, year of participant recruitment, educational level, leisure-time physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, prevalent CVD, cancer and diabetes, heredity score of cancer and CVD, marital status, whether lived alone, and coffee and tea intake.

Fig. 2 Heatmap showing partial correlations of 93 metabolites constituting the metabolic signature of UPF with four NOVA-defined food groups. The bottom row shows the metabolites' coefficients in the signature of UPF. Covariates in model 3 (except for method, coffee, and tea), plus hypertension, diabetes, and lipid-lowering medication, were adjusted. PCI, processed culinary ingredients. * $P < 0.05$.



