

From: Gayle E Woloschak <g-woloschak@northwestern.edu>
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2022, 09:10
To: Nicole Martinez <nmarti3@clermson.edu>
Subject: RE: Follow-up from PAC-1 meeting

Hi Nicole—

I've digested this, or at least some of it. This whole situation is somewhat mind-boggling overall. I feel as though Calabrese is like a cultic character in the field. I think that's not terribly new for radiation but still is strange.

I am part of the group that will be testifying to Congress about the low dose program for DOE. We also work with Congressional staffers, it will be interesting to see how the HPS group is greeted. I feel that HPS is hurting their reputation with this whole project. I have no issues with allowing Calabrese to express his views like any scientist, but to give him a special soap box when his is predominantly sensational is of concern to me.

Anyway, how to proceed? I have still not heard from AAHP, will try again. I had sent an email to the Pres, not sure he is able to respond on the issue without consulting others, so I'm not surprised it's taking a few days. I did offer to have a call. I didn't say I was from NCRP. Once I hear from him (or don't) I think you and I should put together a short response to PAC-1. I would include your first bullet point and probably some information from the others. I'm also going to reach out to Armin and see if he wants to join forces. Does this make sense to you?

Thanks for your efforts Nicole...and welcome aboard! It's not usually this rocky a ride.

Gayle

From: Nicole Martinez <nmarti3@clermson.edu>
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2022 12:22 PM
To: Gayle E Woloschak <g-woloschak@northwestern.edu>
Subject: Follow-up from PAC-1 meeting

Dear Gayle,

Thank you for letting me attend your PAC-1 meeting this week. I also had been meaning to send you a note after your St. Louis talk, which I thought was fantastic and made me wish you were my teacher! Before I forget, I have a couple of points following up from the meeting: